

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (Currently Amended) A system comprising:
a comparison ~~component~~ system in a document layout processing device configured to compare one or more elements of at least a portion of an original document against the same types of elements in at least a portion each of a plurality of stored documents, wherein the portion of the original document is the portion that requires adjustment or re-layout;
a determination ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to identify [[the]] a particular stored document in the plurality of stored documents, with the portion which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the comparing; and
a mutation ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to apply one or more mutators₁ to the portion of the original document which were applied to mutate the portion of the identified stored document, wherein the one or more mutators include a font type adjustor adapted to electronically adjust a font of the portion of the original document, at least one color adjustor adapted to electronically adjust a color of the portion of the original document, and at least one of a line spacing adjustor and at least one section location adjustor in the portion of the original document, adapted to electronically adjust a line spacing and a section location, respectively, of the portion of the original document.
2. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising a selection ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to select the portion of the original document for the comparing.
3. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 1 wherein the determination ~~component~~ system further comprises a scoring ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to generate a score for each of the comparisons of the portion of the original document against each of the portions of each of the plurality of stored documents, wherein the determination system identifies the particular

stored document with the portion with the score which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the generated scores.

4. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising an ordering ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to determine an order for the mutation system to apply the mutators to the portion of the original document.

5. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising an application ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to determine which of the one or more mutators which were used in the portion of the identified stored document are to be used by the mutation ~~component~~ system on the original document.

6. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising an output system which outputs the original document after application of the mutators.

7. (Currently Amended) The system as set forth in claim 6 further comprising an identification ~~component~~ system in the document layout processing device configured to identify the output system wherein one of the elements used in the comparison ~~component~~ system is the identified output system against an output system used for each of the stored documents and wherein the determination ~~component~~ system uses the comparison of the identified output system against an output system used for each of the stored documents in identifying the stored document with the portion which is closest to the portion of the original document.

8. (Original) The system as set forth in claim 1 further comprising storing the output, original document with the applied mutators as one of the stored documents.

9. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:
comparing one or more elements of at least a portion of an original document against the same types of elements in at least a portion each of a plurality of stored

documents, wherein the portion of the original document is the portion that requires adjustment or re-layout;

identifying ~~[[the]]~~ a particular stored document in the plurality of stored documents, with the portion which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the comparing; and

applying one or more mutators to the portion of the original document which were applied to mutate the portion of the identified stored document, wherein the one or more mutators include a font type adjustor, at least one color adjustor and at least one of a line spacing adjustor and at least one section location adjustor in the portion of the original document.

10. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising performing the comparing, the identifying, and the applying on one or more other portions of the original document.

11. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising selecting the portion of the original document for the comparing.

12. (Currently Amended) The method as set forth in claim 9 wherein the identifying further comprises:

generating a score for each of the comparisons of the portion of the original document against each of the portions of each of the plurality of stored documents; and

identifying the particular stored document with the portion with the score which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the generated scores.

13. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising determining an order for the applying of the mutators to the portion of the original document.

14. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 wherein the applying further comprises determining which of the one or more mutators which were used in the portion of the identified stored document to use in the applying.

15. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising outputting the original document after application of the mutators.

16. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising identifying an output system on which the outputting of the original document with the applied mutators will occur wherein one of the elements in the comparing is the type of output system used in the outputting.

17. (Original) The method as set forth in claim 9 further comprising storing the output, original document with the applied mutators as one of the stored documents.

18. (Currently Amended) A computer readable medium having stored thereon instructions for dynamic document layout which when executed by a processor, causes the processor to perform steps comprising:

comparing one or more elements of at least a portion of an original document against the same types of elements in at least a portion each of a plurality of stored documents, wherein the portion of the original document is the portion that requires adjustment or re-layout;

identifying ~~[[the]]~~ a particular stored document, amongst the plurality of stored documents, with the portion which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the comparing; and

applying one or more mutators to the portion of the original document which were applied to mutate the portion of the identified stored document, wherein the one or more mutators include a font type adjustor, at least one color adjustor and at least one of a line spacing adjustor and at least one section location adjustor in the portion of the original document.

19. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising performing the comparing, the identifying, and the applying on one or more other portions of the original document.

20. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising selecting the portion of the original document for the comparing.

21. (Currently Amended) The medium as set forth in claim 18 wherein the identifying further comprises:

generating a score for each of the comparisons of the portion of the original document against each of the portions of each of the plurality of stored documents; and

identifying the particular stored document with the portion with the score which is closest to the portion of the original document based on the generated scores.

22. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising determining an order for the applying of the mutators to the portion of the original document.

23. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 wherein the applying further comprises determining which of the one or more mutators which were used in the portion of the identified stored document to use in the applying.

24. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising outputting the original document after application of the mutators.

25. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising identifying an output system on which the outputting of the original document with the applied mutators will occur wherein one of the elements in the comparing is the type of output system used in the outputting.

26. (Original) The medium as set forth in claim 18 further comprising storing the output, original document with the applied mutators as one of the stored documents.